

1140 19<sup>th</sup> Street, NW | Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036 tel: 202.223.0077 | fax: 202.296.6620

caepnet.org

November 9, 2021

Dr. Michael Puglisi Provost Emory & Henry College P.O. Box 947 Emory, VA 24327

Dear Dr. Puglisi:

The Accreditation Council of the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) met on October 25, 2021, and I am pleased to inform you that the following accreditation status has been granted:

The Department of Education at Emory & Henry College is granted **Accreditation** at the initial-licensure level as described in the Accreditation Action Report.

Included with this letter are two subsequent documents:

- 1) The Accreditation Action Report provides details of the accreditation status.
- 2) Information for EPPs Granted Accreditation provides further information on the Council's decision process and provider responsibilities during the accreditation term.

Congratulations on your accreditation achievement. I appreciate your commitment to excellence in educator preparation accreditation.

Sincerely yours,

CL+pL A. Kock

Christopher A. Koch, Ed.D. President

Enclosures: Accreditation Action Report, Certificate of Accreditation (mailed to provider leadership), and Information on CAEP Accreditation

cc: Dr. Rebecca Buchanan, Department of Education Dr. Sandra Frederick, Department of Education John Wells, Department of Education Ms. Johnelle M. Torbert, Virginia Department of Education Dr. Joan B. Johnson, Virginia Department of Education



# ACCREDITATION ACTION REPORT

Department of Education Emory & Henry College Emory, Virginia

Accreditation Council October 2021
Accreditation Application Date: \*

This is the official record of the Educator Preparation Provider's accreditation status. The Educator Preparation Provider should retain this document for at least two accreditation cycles.

\* This EPP was accredited previously by NCATE or TEAC and the initial application date is not available. CAEP was established July 1, 2013.

## ACCREDITATION DECISION

**Accreditation** is granted at the initial-licensure level. This Accreditation status is effective between Fall 2021 and Fall 2028. The next site visit will take place in Spring 2028.

### SUMMARY OF STANDARDS

CAEP STANDARDS	INITIAL-LICENSURE LEVEL	ADVANCED-LEVEL
STANDARD 1/A.1: Content and Pedagogical Knowledge	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 2/A.2: Clinical Partnerships and Practice	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 3/A.3: Candidate Quality, Recruitment, And Selectivity	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 4/A.4: Program Impact	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 5/A.5: Provider Quality Assurance and Continuous Improvement	Met	Not Applicable

### AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT AND STIPULATIONS

**Areas for Improvement**: Identified areas for improvement are addressed in the provider's annual report.

**Stipulations**: Stipulations are addressed in the provider's annual report and must be corrected within two years to retain accreditation.

No Areas for Improvement or Stipulations were identified in this report.

# AREA(S) FOR IMPROVEMENT OR WEAKNESS(ES) from previous legacy accreditor review (NCATE or TEAC)

#### Removed:

Area for Improvement or Weakness	Rationale

(1) [TEAC 1.5]The reliability and validity of the evidence have The Weakness in component 1.5 was added to the yet to be fully demonstrated.

The Weakness in component 1.5 was added to the recommendation because of a pattern that emerged that included missing data points, low correlations between measures of the same construct, the aggregation of evaluation data based upon instruments with different descriptors for rating scales, and measures that do not discriminate well due to universally high ratings. The program has made great progress in its collection and use of data since its initial accreditation and is in the process of moving toward identifying and selecting a fewer number of stronger and more trust-worthy measures to support its claims. The weakness reflects aspects of the program's current data collection system that are prompting the program to move in that direction. The Team recommends removal.

### INFORMATION ABOUT ACCREDITATION STATUSES

**Accreditation** for seven (7) years is granted if the EPP meets all CAEP Standards and components, even if areas for improvement (AFIs) are identified in the final report of the Accreditation Council.

• Areas for Improvement (AFIs) indicate areas which must be improved by the time of the next accreditation visit. Progress reports on remediation of AFIs are submitted as part of the Annual Report. AFIs not remediated by a subsequent site review may become stipulations.

**Accreditation with stipulations is granted for 2 years** if an EPP meets all standards but receives a stipulation on a component under any standard. Failure to submit a response to the stipulation within a two (2)-year time frame results in revocation. Failure to correct the condition leading to the stipulation within the specified two (2)-year period results in revocation or probation.

• **Stipulations** describe serious deficiencies in meeting CAEP Standards and/or components and must be brought into compliance in order to continue accreditation. All stipulations and relevant evidence are reviewed by the Accreditation Council. Failure to correct the condition leading to the stipulation results in probation or revocation of accreditation.

**Probationary Accreditation** is granted for two (2) years when an EPP does not meet one (1) of the CAEP Standards. Failure to submit a response to the stipulation within a two (2)-year time frame results in revocation. Failure to correct the condition leading to the stipulation within the specified two (2)-year period results in revocation.

### SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

The scope of CAEP's work is the accreditation of educator preparation providers (EPPs) that offer bachelor's, master's, and/or doctoral degrees, post-baccalaureate or other programs leading to certification, licensure, or endorsement in the United States and/or internationally. (2018).

CAEP does not accredit specific degree programs, rather EPPs must include information, data, and other evidence on the following in their submission for CAEP's review:

All licensure areas that prepare candidates to work in preschool through grade 12 settings at the initial-licensure and advanced levels that lead to professional licensure, certification, or endorsement as defined by the state, country, or other governing authority under which the EPP operates and for which the state,

country, or other governing authority has established program approval standards.

Depending on an EPP's submission, accreditation may be awarded at one or both of the following levels: Initial-Licensure level and/or Advanced-Level.

- 1. **Initial-Licensure Level Accreditation** is provided at the baccalaureate or post-baccalaureate levels leading to initial-licensure, certification, or endorsement that are designed to develop P-12 teachers.
- 2. **Advanced-Level Accreditation** is provided at the post-baccalaureate or graduate levels leading to licensure, certification, or endorsement. Advanced-Level Programs are designed to develop P-12 teachers who have already completed an initial-licensure program, currently licensed administrators, or other certified (or similar state language) school professionals for employment in P-12 schools/districts. CAEP's Advanced-Level accreditation does not include any advanced-level program not specific to the preparation of teachers or other school professionals for P-12 schools/districts; any advanced-level non-licensure programs, including those specific to content areas (e.g., M.A., M.S., Ph.D.); or Educational leadership programs not specific to the preparation of teachers or other school professionals for P-12 schools/districts.

Information on accreditation status, terms, and any conditions provided within this directory is specific to the accreditation level(s) described above. CAEP-accredited EPPs are required to distinguish accurately between programs that are accredited and those that are not.

NOTE: Neither CAEP staff, evaluation team members, nor other agents of CAEP are empowered to make or modify Accreditation Council decisions. These remain the sole responsibility of the Council itself.

End of Action Report